Rushcliffe Borough Council – Scrutiny Matrix

Councillor Request for Scrutiny						
Catherine Evans – Service Manager B	Economic Growth and Property					
Proposed topic of scrutiny	Review of Growth Boards					
I would like to understand (key lines of enquiry)	The current Growth Boards were established in 2015 and have been reviewed a couple of times since then, the most recent being 2019.					
	Cabinet recommended in June that a review be conducted of the Growth Boards. This review should involve:					
	 A review of current Boards TOR to review areas of consistency and differences A survey of Growth Board members – to reflect on work done to date and also consider priorities for future Board/s Engagement with/survey of local businesses and other key stakeholders – to consider priorities for future Board/s The findings of the retail reviews (currently commissioned) and what this says about future focus A report to scrutiny to review options. The report to scrutiny should include the process the review has taken and an option/s for the future					
I think this tagis should be	structure of Growth Boards.					
I think this topic should be	Poor Performance Identified Change in Legislation or Local Policy					
scrutinised because	Resident Concern or Interest					
(please tick)	✓ Cabinet Recommendation					
	Links to the Corporate Strategy Other					
	(please state reason)					
Officer Consideration of Request for Scrutiny						
Officer Feedback (please tick)						
- Issue already being addressed	 Issue of a complaint investigation 					

 Issue has already been considered in the last 2 years? 	×	Issue is a staffing matter	×	
- Issue is a legal matter	×	There is an alternative way of dealing with the issue	×	
Is there sufficient capacity				
- Scrutiny Work Programme?	✓			
- Officer Resources?	✓			
	Schedule for Scrutiny			
		·		
Recommendation Consideration of Request for Public Involvement / engagement?		·		
Consideration of Request for		·		
Consideration of Request for Public Involvement / engagement?		·		
Consideration of Request for Public Involvement / engagement? Expert witnesses?	or So	·		